FUNCTION (from Bentvelzen et al. 2017 JAMDA)

	Dementia-specific							Generic				
		Informant				Perform.	Observ.	v. IADL	ADL			
No	Rating Criteria	BAYER-ADL	DAD	Bristol ADL	ADCS/MCI/ADL	DAFS-R	CS-ADL	L&B IADL	OARS-IADL	BARTHEL	KATZ	FIM
1	Inter-rater reliability (/4)	0	4	0	0	4	4	4	4	4	0	4
2	Test-retest reliability (/4)	4	4	2	4	4	0	4	4	2	0	4
3	Internal consistency (/2)	2	2	0	0	1	2	1	1	1.5	1	2
4	Content validity (/2)	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	0.5	1	0.5
5	Concurrent validity (/4)	4	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	3	4	3
6	Discriminant validitiy (/4)	4	4	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
7	Sensitivity (/4)	4	2	4	4	4	4	4	0	0	4	0
8	Specificity (/4)	4	2	2	4	2	4	4	0	0	0	0
9	Responsiveness (/4)	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	2
10	Dementia types (/2)	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	1	0
11	Clinical settings (/2)	1	1	2	1	2	0	0	2	2	2	2
12	Education/literacy (/2)	2	0	1	2	2	1.5	2	0	0	0	0
13	Translations (/2)	2	2	2	1.5	2	0	2	2	2	2	2
14	International acceptance (/4)	0	2	4	2	0	2	2	0	4	2	4
15	Administration time (/4)	0	1	2	0	0	0	4	4	2	2	0
16	A: Ease of use (/4)	-	-	-	-	2	2	4	4	4	4	4
	B: Respondent burden (/4)	4	4	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Qualifications required (/4)	4	2	4	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
18	Cost of tool/training (/4)	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2
	Weighted score (/60)	43	42	42	35.5	41	36.5	44	37	39	35	34

- 2 Reliability 2: test-retest 4 excellent (ICC/κ ≥ .90) 2 adequate (ICC/κ .70 to .89) 0 low (ICC/κ < .70) or no data
- Validity 1: Content validity-domain of interest is comprehensively sampled by the items
 2 domain comprehensively sampled
 1 domain reasonably well sampled
 0 important aspects of domain are not sampled or irrelevant items included
- 5 Validity 2: Concurrent validity–expected correlations with similar validated measures
 4 high (|r/k| ≥ .70)
 2 moderate (|r/k| from .40 to .69)
 0 low concurrent validity ((|r/k| ≤ .30), or no data
- 6 Validity 3: Discriminant validity cross-sectional (eg, dementia vs depression; low vs high levels of severity/impairment; AD vs FTD etc.)

- 4 can distinguish between >2 clinically important categories of respondents
 2 can distinguish between 2 categories of respondents
 0 no evidence
- 7 Validity 4: Sensitivity to diagnosis/category 4 high (2.85) 2 moderate (.70 to .84) 0 low (<.70)
- 8 Validity 5: Specificity to diagnosis/category 4 high (2.85) 2 moderate (.70 to .84) 0 low (<.70)
 - Validity 6: Responsivenessdability to detect clinically important change over time (eg, because of course of the

9

- condition or in response to intervention) 4 availability of minimum clinically important difference
- 4 availability of minimum clinically important difference (MCID) in appropriate metrics (eg, standardized response means) at the individual patient level on external clinical criteria
- 2 can detect statistically significant changes over time in hypothesized direction on external clinical criteria, but no metrics available to quantify MCID at the individual patient level
- 0 no evidence for responsiveness
- Generalizability 1: validity in different dementia populations (eg, AD, FTD, PD etc.)
 2 > 2 types of dementia

1 two different types of dementia 0 only 1 type of dementia

- 11 Generalizability 2: validity in different clinical settings (ie, nursing home, community, primary care, specialist) 2 > 2 types of setting
 - 1 two different types of setting
 - 0 only 1 type of setting
- 12 Generalizability 3: validity in patients with low education/literacy
 - 2 scale shown to be resistant to low education/literacy, or effects of education/literacy shown but alternative cutoffs or corrections published
 - effect of low education/literacy on validity, but no alternative cut-offs or corrections available
 - 0 not investigated
- 13 Generalizability 4: validity in multiple countries/languages 2 multiple countries or languages
 - 1 different countries but only 1 language
 - 0 1 country and language
- 14 Recommended in published international dementia guidelines
 - $4 \ge 2$ countries
 - 2 1 country
 - 0 0 countries
- 15 Administration time (minutes) $4 \le 5$

- 2 6–15 0 > 15
- > 15
- 16A Ease of administration and scoring (for clinicianadministered tools)
 - 4 does not require algorithm to score or special equipment
 - 2 requires an algorithm to compute score OR special equipment
 - 0 requires an algorithm to compute score AND special equipment
- 16B Burden on respondent (for self-reported or proxy tools) 4 items are worded simply
 - 2 minor challenges for respondent (eg, minority of items are worded in a complex manner)
 - 0 reasonable degree of burden on respondent (majority of items worded in a complex manner)
- 17 Clinical qualifications required to administer tool
 - 4 untrained rater (eg, general nursing staff, patient/informant)
 - 2 paraprofessional/staff member (eg, clinical nurse; research assistant)
 - 0 professional (eg, doctor, occupational therapist, or neuropsychologist)
- 18 Cost of the tool and training for clinicians
 4 no charge for tool or for training
 2 small 1-time costs to acquire tool or for training
 0 costs charged each time tool is used